

In the html abstract, html-text and pdf of the summary of the article

“Rouillier P, et al. (2004) Dietary patterns and the adenomacarcinoma sequence of colorectal cancer. Eur J Nutr (published online 20th August 2004)” The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/Doi> Nr. 10.1007/s00394-004-0525-8

the numbering of the different clusters in the sub-section “Results” had been mixed up. Instead of:

“... Logistic regression identified cluster 1 as significantly associated with risk of small adenomas (OR = 1.7; 95 % confidence interval 1.0–2.7), large adenomas (OR = 1.9; 1.2–3.0) and cancers (OR = 1.7; 1.1–2.8) compared with cluster 2. Cluster 4 diet was inversely associated with risk of small adenomas (OR = 0.4; 0.2–1.0). There was no relationship be-

tween patterns and risk of hyperplastic polyps. Multiple adjustment decreased the strength of the relationships with cluster 1, which remained significantly associated with adenomas, but not cancer.”

there should have been:

“Logistic regression identified cluster 2 as significantly associated with risk of small adenomas (OR = 1.7; 95 % confidence interval 1.0–2.7), large adenomas (OR = 1.9; 1.2–3.0) and cancers (OR = 1.7; 1.1–2.8) compared with cluster 1. Cluster 4 diet was inversely associated with risk of small adenomas (OR = 0.4; 0.2–1.0). There was no relationship between patterns and risk of hyperplastic polyps. Multiple adjustment decreased the strength of the relationships with cluster 2, which remained significantly associated with adenomas, but not cancer.”

The publisher apologises for any inconvenience caused by this mistake.

Published online: 30 November 2004